1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 8 9 VANESSA STPIERRE, Case No. 2:15-cv-02415-JAD-NJK 10 Plaintiff(s), ORDER 11 v. (Docket No. 14) 12 ADVANCED CALL CENTER TECHNOLOGIES, LLC, 13 Defendant(s). 14 Pending before the Court is the parties' joint proposed discovery plan. Docket No. 14. Local 15 Rule 26-1(e)(1) establishes 180 days, measured from the date the first defendant answers or otherwise 16 17 appears, as a presumptively reasonable time to complete discovery. Where more than 180 days of 18 discovery are sought, the proposed discovery plan must state on its face, "SPECIAL SCHEDULING 19 REVIEW REQUESTED" and provide an explanation why the parties believe additional time is 20 required. Local Rule 26-1(d). In this case, the proposed discovery plan requests a longer discovery 21 period than 180 days without complying with Local Rule 26-1. 22 Accordingly, the proposed discovery plan is hereby **DENIED** without prejudice. The parties 23 shall file a new joint proposed discovery plan that complies in full with Local Rule 26-1 no later than 24 March 14, 2016. 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. 26 DATED: March 11, 2016. 27 NANCY J. KOPPE United States Magistrate Judge 28